

COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

OFFICE OF THE COUNTY COUNSEL

648 KENNETH HAHN HALL OF ADMINISTRATION
500 WEST TEMPLE STREET
LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90012-2713

JOHN F. KRATTLI County Counsel

February 12, 2014

TELEPHONE (213) 974-1861 FACSIMILE (213) 229-9924

TDD

(213) 633-0901

E-MAIL

pwu@counsel.lacounty.gov

TO:

SACHI A. HAMAI Executive Officer

Board of Supervisors

Attention: Agenda Preparation

FROM:

PATRICK A. WU

Senior Assistant County Counsel

RE:

Item for the Board of Supervisors' Agenda County Claims Board Recommendation Charlie M., et al. v. County of Los Angeles

Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. TC 021 812

Attached is the Agenda entry for the Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation regarding the above-referenced matter. Also attached are the Case Summary and the Summary Corrective Action Plan to be made available to the public.

It is requested that this recommendation, the Case Summary, and the Summary Corrective Action Plan be placed on the Board of Supervisors' agenda.

PAW:rfm

Attachments

Board Agenda

MISCELLANEOUS COMMUNICATIONS

Los Angeles County Claims Board's recommendation: Authorize settlement of the matter entitled <u>Charlie M.</u>, et al. v. County of Los Angeles, Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. TC 021 812, in the amount of \$375,000 and instruct the Auditor-Controller to draw a warrant to implement this settlement from the Department of Children and Family Services' budget.

This lawsuit concerns allegations of abuse of minors while in foster care under the supervision of the Department of Children and Family Services.

CASE SUMMARY

INFORMATION ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OF LITIGATION

CASE NAME

Charlie M., et al. v. County of

Los Angeles, et al.

CASE NUMBER

TC021812

COURT

Los Angeles County Superior Court

DATE FILED

July 2, 2008

COUNTY DEPARTMENT

Department of Children and Family

Services

PROPOSED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT

\$ 375,000

ATTORNEY FOR PLAINTIFF

Vana Parker Margolese

Matison & Margolese

Roger Senders Gregg Goldfarb

Law Offices of Roger S. Senders

COUNTY COUNSEL ATTORNEY

Takin Khorram

Deputy County Counsel Social Services Division

Tomas Guterres

Christie Bodnar Swiss

Collins Collins Muir + Stewart

NATURE OF CASE

Alleged sexual, physical and emotional abuse in foster care

PAID ATTORNEY FEES, TO DATE

\$ 679,420

PAID COSTS, TO DATE

\$ 123,000

Case Name: Charlie M. v. County of Los Angeles

Summary Corrective Action Plan



The intent of this form is to assist departments in writing a corrective action plan summary for attachment to the settlement documents developed for the Board of Supervisors and/or the County of Los Angeles Claims Board. The summary should be a specific overview of the claims/lawsuits' identified root causes and corrective actions (status, time frame, and responsible party). This summary does not replace the Corrective Action Plan form. If there is a question related to confidentiality, please consult County Counsel.

Date of incident/event:	1994 through 2007	,	
Briefly provide a description of the incident/event:	The plaintiffs alleged that they were subjected to physical and en abuse; including, but not limited to frequent beatings, starvati sexual abuse while they were placed with their paternal great aur		

Briefly describe the <u>root cause(s)</u> of the claim/lawsuit:

The plaintiffs contend that they should not have been placed with their parental great aunt because of her criminal history and the numerous referrals that were alleged during her service as a foster parent. The plaintiffs also alleged that DCFS should have known who was residing in her home.

 Briefly describe recommended corrective actions: (include each corrective action, due date, responsible party, and any disciplinary actions if appropriate)

The Department had policies and procedures in place at the time of the incident with regard to relative home assessments, monthly contact requirements and exceptions, and criminal exemptions. The Department continues to update these procedures and policies to ensure child safety.

County of Los Angeles	•
Summary Corrective Action Plan	
	•
	•
 Are the corrective actions addressing department-wide system is 	ssues?
X Yes - The corrective actions address department-wide system	issues.
ra kie The summer water and all and a will all to be the uther and	- marting
☐ No - The corrective actions are only applicable to the affected	parties.
Name: (Risk Management Coordinator)	
Michelle R. Victor	·
n properties a series annual to a final of a series agranged in modern whom the	Date:
Signature:	I I
Thursday .	12 5.13
Name: (Department Head)	
PHILIP L. BROWNING	
Signature:	Date:
Signature.	
	12.19 13
Chief Executive Office Risk Management Inspector General USE O	NLY
	•
Are the corrective actions applicable to other departments within the Co	unty?
☐ Yes, the corrective actions potentially have County-wide appli	leability.
- 168, the corrective actions potentially have country-wide appr	icability.
☐ No, the corrective actions are applicable only to this department	ent.
El 170, tile corrective actions are applicable only to the department	
Name: (Risk Management Inspector General)	
Leo Costantino	•
	The state of the s
Signature:	Date:
77600	12 5/2013